

n w/c
Satr, 11/24/66:

If he made an error, why did he have to wait 3 years and one day from the date of the autopsy report or th 3 years and 3 days from the autopsy exam. to correct it? It was also 6 months to the day after I wrote him, on May 24.

If it was "not drawn for the final autopsy report", for what was it drawn?

If "The position of the (rearr nonfatal) wound was crucial to determining the trajectory of the bullet", how could he: "determine the trajectory":

- a) ~~determining the trajectory~~ without irrefutable knowledge of whether it was of entrance or exit (no front wound remaining")
- b) without knowing the position of the President's body with respect to the source of the shot both vertically and laterally?

If this sketch was "rough notes" for an autopsy, why should any be or be made other than exact? With the conflict between this chart and the longhand ~~measurements~~ measurements, why did he not correct it? Why did not Humes, who swore he had this constantly in his possession and used his notes in the preparation of the autopsy report?

"Boswell noted that...the official testimony located the wound at the base of the neck". This is false (W 185)

How does he know the pictures he saw 11/1/66 are those actually taken the night of 11/22/63, when he never saw those taken that night?

"If I had known at the time that the sketch would become public record I would have been more careful."

What else does his medical training tell him of an autopsy after a death of violence? It is part of an official proceeding, unusually a legal proceeding. Did he expect nothing to happen when a President is murdered? Is there anything permissibly indefinite or careless about any autopsy, especially when murder is involved? Why should he have been anything but as careful as possible about all aspects of his normal function and this particular one, with a President involved?

Why are there no official autopsy charts (show Oswald's) (Tippit's)

If "the photographs were to provide the exact visual description", why were they not made part of the autopsy report?

"FBI agents...not trained in medicine". Do they have to be to repeat non-medical things the doctors tell them? Why were they at the autopsy? Does one need medical training to repeat that a bullet hole went nowhere, that its end could be felt by a finger?

How could he tell whether this nonfatal wound was of entry or exit after a finger was forced into it?

Kennedy

"The autopsy report concluded that a single bullet hit both Boswell and Connally and Boswell said in the interview, "there is absolutely no doubt in our minds" now.

- a) the point is not whether a single bullet hit both men but whether it could have inflicted all seven non-fatal injuries and remained almost pristine after so doing. On this 100% of the evidence, including the considered medical opinions of all three autopsy doctors ("cannot conceive", proves the impossibility).
- b) Is such a conclusion proper in the autopsy of the President alone, when the autopsy doctors had no knowledge of Connally's injuries
 - 1) Did they, in fact, so conclude in this autopsy? Where?